

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES DEAN, MR., et al.,	}	Docket No. 09 C 1190
Plaintiffs,		
vs.		
CITY OF CHICAGO, et al.,		Chicago, Illinois August 16, 2012 10:30 a.m.
Defendants.	}	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW F. KENNELLY

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:	SRAGA HAUSER, LLC
	BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER L. PETRARCA
	19730 Governors Highway
	Suite 10
	Flossmoor, Illinois 60422
	HAMILTON LAW OFFICE
	BY: MS. TORRI L. HAMILTON
	11 South LaSalle Street
	Suite 1000
	Chicago, Illinois 60603
	ERICKSON & OPPENHEIMER, LTD.
	BY: MR. JON F. ERICKSON
	118 South Clinton Street
	Suite 200
	Chicago, Illinois 60661

1  
2 For the Defendant: DYKEMA, GOSSETT, ROOKS, PITTS, PLLC  
3 BY: MS. MOLLY THOMPSON  
4 10 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 2300  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

5 QUERREY & HARROW, LTD.  
6 BY: MR. LAWRENCE S. KOWALCZYK  
MS. STACEY M. ATKINS  
7 MS. GHAZAL SHARIFI  
175 West Jackson Boulevard  
Suite 1600  
8 Chicago, Illinois 60604  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Valarie M. Ramsey, CSR, RMR  
23 P.O. Box 16  
24 Hazel Crest, Illinois 60429  
25 (708) 860-8482

1 THE CLERK: 09 C 1190, Dean versus the City.

2 MR. PETRARCA: Good morning, your Honor. Chris  
3 Petrarca on behalf of Mr. Dean.

4 MR. ERICKSON: Good morning, your Honor. Jon  
5 Erickson on behalf of Mr. Dean.

6 MS. HAMILTON: Good morning, Judge. Torri Hamilton  
7 also on behalf of Mr. Dean.

8 MR. KOWALCZYK: Good morning, your Honor. Larry  
9 Kowalczyk on behalf of Officer Fiorito.

10 MS. ATKINS: Good morning, your Honor. Stacey  
11 McGlynn Atkins on behalf of Officer Fiorito.

12 MS. SHARIFI: Good morning, your Honor. Ghazal  
13 Sharifi, S-h-a-r-i-f-i, on behalf of Officer Fiorito.

14 MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, your Honor. Molly  
15 Thompson on behalf of the City.

16 THE COURT: Oh, you're new today.

17 MS. THOMPSON: Yes.

18 THE COURT: You're here for Mr. Nolan.

19 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. He wasn't able to come in.

20 THE COURT: Okay. So there's been one development  
21 since yesterday which the parties apprised me of by email.  
22 And so the plaintiff is not going to call Mr. -- or not  
23 proposing to call Mr. Pipkens or Ms. Klein, and so the, what  
24 I'll call the other act evidence issue boils down to  
25 Mr. Lopez.

1           And I believe Mr. Kowalczyk sent me everything by  
2 email. I have not had an opportunity to read it because this  
3 has kind of been a morning from hell.

4           MR. KOWALCZYK: I believe the hand delivery came in  
5 this morning.

6           THE COURT: It also came in too. I don't know. I've  
7 been out here since 9:00. So I will deal with that.

8           Then the other thing that was on the table, and I  
9 looked over the final pretrial order, I guess there's some  
10 objections to witnesses being called. And some of them I  
11 think kind of drop by the wayside because of some rulings I've  
12 made, but I guess there are a few others. And so here's the  
13 ones that I have on the plaintiff's may call list that are --  
14 who have been objected to by the defendant: Kevin Finnegan,  
15 who's a police officer; Joseph Peterson, who's a police  
16 officer; Charles Piet, P-i-e-t, who's a lawyer; Lawrence  
17 O'Reilly, who is also a lawyer; Jill Maderak, M-a-d-e-r-a-k;  
18 Mr. Lopez, we've covered him; Pipkens drops out; and Klein  
19 drops out.

20           So of those people, who do you actually think you're  
21 going to call? Do I have to deal with all of them, in other  
22 words?

23           MS. HAMILTON: Yes. I'm sorry, yes. I believe we  
24 might call -- the only two that we may not call are probably  
25 Finnegan and Peterson. Those were both police officers.

1 One's a lockup keeper and one's the -- listed as the assisting  
2 officer, assisting arresting officer on Fiorito's arrest  
3 report of Dean.

4 THE COURT: Which one is listed on the arrest report?

5 MS. HAMILTON: Finnegan.

6 MR. KOWALCZYK: And Officer Finnegan -- they both  
7 gave depositions, your Honor, but Officer Finnegan had  
8 absolutely no recollection at all whatsoever of the event.  
9 And I believe it was Michael Oppenheimer who was at that dep  
10 from plaintiffs.

11 THE COURT: But he's on a report?

12 MR. KOWALCZYK: That is correct, Judge. His name  
13 appears on the report.

14 THE COURT: And on Peterson, you said he's a lockup  
15 keeper?

16 MS. HAMILTON: Yes.

17 THE COURT: So what's the purpose for which -- I'm  
18 not suggesting there isn't one, but what's the purpose for  
19 which you'd be calling him?

20 MS. HAMILTON: The lockup keeper actually fills  
21 out -- does an evaluation of the arrestee and fills out a is  
22 he intoxicated or not.

23 THE COURT: I'm not going to preclude them from being  
24 called. It may be that they don't know anything or that  
25 they're going to say they don't know anything, but they're

1 both identified in documents as people who had some contact  
2 with Mr. Dean, so I don't think there's an appropriate basis  
3 to prevent the plaintiff from call them.

4 As far as Mr. Piet is concerned, I frankly think I've  
5 dealt with Mr. Piet largely in the summary judgment motion. I  
6 mean, I think I said that in terms of what's in his affidavit  
7 there was one thing that I thought was hearsay, the rest of it  
8 I didn't think was hearsay, and I thought there was a  
9 sufficient foundation for it. So I don't think I need to do  
10 anything further on Piet. I mean, there may be some  
11 objections that come up when he's called, but --

12 Let me skip over O'Reilly for a second. What's Jill  
13 Maderak?

14 MS. HAMILTON: Jill Maderak the records keeper for  
15 OEMC, Judge. She would be called basically -- in the past  
16 I've used her to explain what the OEMC records which are --  
17 can be sort of confusing without some help. So that's what  
18 she's on the list for.

19 THE COURT: Now that you know that, do you think  
20 there's some basis for me to prevent them from calling her?

21 MR. KOWALCZYK: If it's relaying foundation, we may  
22 even be able to agree on certain foundation.

23 THE COURT: I'm not going to preclude it. I'd like  
24 you to try to agree, but I'm not going to force the plaintiff  
25 to stipulate. If you think it explains it better to have a

1 live witness, then that's fine.

2 Lopez I'm going to talk about later, and so that  
3 leaves O'Reilly. So who's O'Reilly?

4 MS. HAMILTON: Lawrence O'Reilly was at the time of  
5 Mr. Dean's prosecution he was the supervisor -- state's  
6 attorney supervisor in traffic court. I actually disclosed  
7 him by name in my 26(a)(1)s.

8 THE COURT: What would you be calling him for? Did  
9 he have some involvement in the discussions that led to the  
10 disposition of the case?

11 MS. HAMILTON: Yes.

12 MR. KOWALCZYK: This was the ruling your Honor made  
13 yesterday on the 134 nollies.

14 MS. HAMILTON: No, Judge.

15 THE COURT: I'm talking about on Mr. Dean's case.

16 MS. HAMILTON: Yes.

17 THE COURT: So they're saying that he may have had  
18 some involvement in the discussions that led to the  
19 disposition of Mr. Dean's case. So I'm not going to preclude  
20 him either because it's potentially relevant on the favorable  
21 termination issue.

22 Okay. So there's a couple of objections that the  
23 defendant listed -- or that the plaintiff listed to defense  
24 witnesses, and so I'm going to just go through the laundry  
25 list and you'll tell me which ones you're going to call and

1 then we'll deal with them.

2 So you've got an assistant state's attorney named  
3 Michael Rusch, R-u-s-c-h.

4 MR. KOWALCZYK: Judge, he was the one on Dean's --  
5 the plea agreement. And your Honor saw the transcript.

6 THE COURT: So he was on the state side of the  
7 discussion.

8 MR. KOWALCZYK: That's correct.

9 MS. HAMILTON: Withdraw our objection, Judge.

10 THE COURT: Okay. So that objection is withdrawn.  
11 Kevin Rocio, R-o-c-i-o.

12 MR. KOWALCZYK: Disclosed early on by the plaintiff  
13 in his deposition as the gentleman who was with him at  
14 Japonais, and we listed him --

15 THE COURT: In the supplementals.

16 MR. KOWALCZYK: That's correct.

17 MS. HAMILTON: We've actually had contact with him,  
18 so we withdraw our objection.

19 THE COURT: Okay. So that objection is withdrawn.

20 And then it looked like the other two -- so does  
21 either -- so Michael been (phonetic) and Evelyn Hernandez, do  
22 they relate to Lopez in some way?

23 MS. HAMILTON: Yes.

24 MR. KOWALCZYK: They both do, Judge. They won't be  
25 called if Lopez doesn't hit the stand.



1 THE COURT: Okay. So I think I just defer those and  
2 wait and see what happens.

3 MR. KOWALCZYK: And the same, I believe, there's a  
4 lockup keeper also. I think it was Officer --

5 THE COURT: You're right.

6 MR. KOWALCZYK: -- Kunabis (phonetic) or Ziamba  
7 (phonetic). I apologize to the court. It was of one those --

8 THE COURT: Kunabis.

9 MR. KOWALCZYK: -- who did testify that Lopez  
10 appeared intoxicated at the time of lockup.

11 THE COURT: There's no objection to him actually.

12 MS. HAMILTON: Yes. And one of them actually  
13 probably relates to one of the witnesses we've withdrawn, one  
14 of those two at the bottom of the page there.

15 THE COURT: Kunabis and Ziamba?

16 MS. HAMILTON: Correct.

17 MR. KOWALCZYK: The corresponding officer.

18 THE COURT: You'll get that sorted out.

19 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Judge.

20 THE COURT: So as far as all of these exhibits, I  
21 mean, it looked like there was maybe a couple of dozen that  
22 were objected to, so all of these -- I mean a number of  
23 plaintiff's exhibits that are objected to are police reports.  
24 So like 4, 5, 6, 7 and a bunch of others, I don't -- I'm going  
25 to confess I did not take this home and look through the

1 binder, okay. I'm going to assume that at least 4, 5, 6, and  
2 7 were authored by Officer Fiorito.

3 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Judge.

4 THE COURT: So those aren't hearsay.

5 Eight is -- what's 8? Why do you need 8? 8 is the  
6 auto pound yard pass. So this is the thing they give somebody  
7 to go get their car out of the pound?

8 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, after their car has been  
9 impounded. It just corroborates that the car was impounded,  
10 that they took possession of the car. He didn't park it  
11 there. It was actually impounded, park it in front of the  
12 fire hydrant. There also is a fee associated with having to  
13 get the car out.

14 THE COURT: Whose signature is this?

15 MS. HAMILTON: That's the actual -- it says  
16 roommate's signature, to whom he still owes the money.

17 THE COURT: All right. So this is something that you  
18 actually want to use, not just something you've put on the  
19 list because you need it on the list.

20 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Judge.

21 THE COURT: Okay. So talk me through what the  
22 objection is to this, Mr. Kowalczyk.

23 MR. KOWALCZYK: Go ahead.

24 THE COURT: Or Ms. McGlynn Atkins.

25 MS. ATKINS: Good morning, your Honor.

1           The auto pound yard pass, it is signed not by  
2 Mr. Dean, but by his alleged roommate, the actual owner of the  
3 vehicle. Mr. Dean's name does not appear on it anywhere.

4           Miss Hamilton also talked about a related document,  
5 which would be the actual receipt for the impoundment fee.

6           THE COURT: Is that in here too somewhere?

7           MS. ATKINS: That would be -- I believe it's  
8 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17.

9           THE COURT: You are correct. It is.

10          MS. ATKINS: Those two documents, again, they don't  
11 have Mr. Dean's signature on them. And, moreover, Mr. -- and  
12 please forgive me if I butcher his last name. I think it's  
13 Simchak (phonetic). The owner of the vehicle.

14          THE COURT: Not even close, but I get it.

15          MS. ATKINS: He's not disclosed as a witness. He's  
16 not expected to testify at trial as to the alleged money owed  
17 to him for the impoundment or to talk about anything relative  
18 to the actual yard pass itself.

19          THE COURT: Pass for a second.

20          So what's Mr. Dean's story as to how the car got out  
21 of impoundment?

22          MS. HAMILTON: So it was the roommate's car, so only  
23 a roommate can actually get the car out of the pound.

24          THE COURT: Who forked over money?

25          MS. HAMILTON: The roommate did, but the debt --

1 THE COURT: And he says Mr. Dean owes it to him.

2 MS. HAMILTON: Correct.

3 THE COURT: Okay. And so this is part of Mr. Dean  
4 proving what his damages are.

5 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Judge.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MS. ATKINS: Your Honor, if I may, I don't believe  
8 that there's been any evidence offered that Mr. Dean actually  
9 paid his roommate back.

10 THE COURT: Oh, they're not saying he paid him.  
11 They're saying he owes it to him.

12 MS. ATKINS: Okay. I thought she just referred it to  
13 as a debt that he actually owes to him.

14 THE COURT: No. Simchak paid for it, and then he  
15 says, Dean, you owe this to me because it's your fault that my  
16 car got impounded.

17 MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Judge.

18 THE COURT: That's the theory.

19 You know, with a proper foundation, both of these  
20 documents are business records of the City of Chicago.  
21 There's just no question about it. Now, if you want to make  
22 some sort of record custodian come in and say, oh, yeah, this  
23 is what these things are, and we keep them, and it's in the  
24 ordinary course of business, then fine, go ahead and do that,  
25 but you ought to be able to come up with a stipulation.

1 I mean, your argument really here isn't or at least  
2 shouldn't be about whether the pieces of paper come in. It  
3 should be about their significance, you know, whether, first  
4 of all, it's really damages because we don't have Simchak  
5 here, ladies and gentlemen, how do we even know that he's  
6 actually asked Mr. Dean for the money back? You have Mr. Dean  
7 to believe for that. Do you believe anything the guy says?  
8 Et cetera, et cetera. I think you ought to be able to work  
9 past that one. So that's it.

10 9 is the bond slip, which Mr. Dean did sign. At  
11 least I assume that's Mr. Dean. Or who posted the money? Oh,  
12 that's Mr. Simchak's signature again. At least it looks like  
13 a similar scrawl. I'm not sure that it is actually.

14 No, that's got to be his because it says defendant's  
15 signature.

16 So what are you putting in the bond slip for?

17 MS. HAMILTON: Judge, the bond slip shows what  
18 time -- this is relevant -- kind of key to plaintiff's case.

19 THE COURT: What time he got --

20 MS. HAMILTON: Yeah. Bond slip -- there's two bond  
21 slips. So one shows when he bonded out for the arrest of  
22 Mr. Walsh, which is relevant because it's some four minutes  
23 later that he's been, you know, arrested by --

24 THE COURT: Okay. I get it.

25 MS. HAMILTON: And then the second one shows --

1 THE COURT: When he was released from custody.

2 MS. HAMILTON: Ahead of me as usual, Judge.

3 THE COURT: Those are both admissible.

4 Event queries. So I'm assuming -- I mean, this is  
5 going to end up being a foundational exhibit. And this has to  
6 do with, again, setting the timeline, I'm assuming?

7 MS. HAMILTON: Yes.

8 THE COURT: So assuming the foundation is laid, I  
9 think those are properly admissible.

10 The transcript of the January 22 court hearing.  
11 Maybe I'm wrong, but as I read the summary judgment materials,  
12 it's not an issue about what was said, it's an issue about  
13 what it meant and what -- and how it gets interpreted and how  
14 it fits into the pigeonholes of favorable termination. So  
15 what's the objection to putting in the transcript?

16 Oh, it's just that it was mis-titled. The jury is  
17 not going to get a list. So just let's say that it's called  
18 transcript of January 22nd, 2009. Is there a problem with it?

19 MS. ATKINS: No, Judge.

20 MR. KOWALCZYK: No, Judge.

21 THE COURT: Fine. Then that's admitted.

22 No. 14 is the statutory summary suspension order. We  
23 talked about this yesterday.

24 MR. KOWALCZYK: That's correct.

25 MS. SHARIFI: We'll be submitting briefing on it.

1 THE COURT: That's right. You're going to submit  
2 something extra on that.

3 15 is the transcript of that hearing. Same deal.

4 17 we've talked about. That's part of the impound.

5 18 is the CBR to the attorney. So who posted the  
6 bond money, the five hundred bucks, in other words? Who's  
7 Mr. Dean going to testify posted the bond money?

8 MS. HAMILTON: Judge, I believe it was his roommate  
9 as well that posted --

10 THE COURT: Mr. Simchak. So he's out another five  
11 hundred bucks, okay, which Mr. Dean is going to say he hasn't  
12 paid back yet.

13 MS. HAMILTON: Judge, I can't answer that question at  
14 the moment. I'm sorry. Mr. Dean is out of town, and I  
15 haven't had as much time with him as I would like before  
16 appearing in court.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Dean goes out of town a lot. I know  
18 this. I've heard him tell me this before.

19 Okay.

20 MS. ATKINS: Your Honor, if I may also, if I'm  
21 remembering the CBR court order correctly, Attorney Piet  
22 had --

23 THE COURT: Does he pronounce it Piet or does he  
24 pronounce it --

25 MS. HAMILTON: I believe it's Piet.

1 MS. ATKINS: Piet.

2 THE COURT: He doesn't pronounce it the French way.  
3 He pronounces it the Chicago way. It's like Goethe Street,  
4 you know.

5 MR. KOWALCZYK: The only way to get there in a cab.

6 THE COURT: Gerte, what's that?

7 MS. ATKINS: Well, if I remember correctly, the  
8 attorney had filed a petition to have the bond moneys turned  
9 over to him for partial payment --

10 THE COURT: Right.

11 MS. ATKINS: -- or full payment --

12 THE COURT: That's what this order says.

13 MS. ATKINS: -- of attorney's fees. And so I don't  
14 know -- again, in the absence of the roommate saying that the  
15 money is owed back to me or I gave it as --

16 THE COURT: I assume Mr. Dean is going to -- I've  
17 been told Mr. Dean is going to say that he's been told he owes  
18 the money. That's not hearsay. It's that you must pay me.  
19 That's not hearsay. And so I think your objection really goes  
20 to weight, not admissibility.

21 I mean, it's going to be, I think, a pretty, you  
22 know, powerful argument that the guy has no damages because,  
23 you know, where's Mr. Simchak and why hasn't he gone after  
24 Mr. Dean for all, lo, these many years, but I think that's a  
25 weight issue.



1           As far as the deposition transcript of Fiorito's  
2 testimony, so I'm not going to tell -- I mean, anything that  
3 the party has said in deposition can be introduced by the  
4 other party. I'm not going to tell people how to put in their  
5 case, you know, whether you just want to put in the deposition  
6 and you rest and let the defendants call Fiorito or whether  
7 you are going to call him and stick the deposition in his face  
8 at some points in time or whether you're going to let him  
9 testify and then put in the deposition to show contradictions,  
10 but I don't think there's any basis to exclude the deposition  
11 testimony.

12           The Lopez stuff I'm going to deal with. That's 20.

13           Number 21 and 22 are out.

14           Fiorito's oath of office, what's that all about?

15           MS. HAMILTON: Judge, he actually -- I think it's  
16 relevant to punitive damages. He has signed an oath of office  
17 to be a police officer, whether his oath is to uphold the  
18 constitution, and he signed it, so I think it's also a  
19 statement of a party opponent.

20           THE COURT: Tell me what the objection is to that.

21           MR. KOWALCZYK: Judge, the objection is really  
22 relevance.

23           THE COURT: It's a weight issue. The objection is  
24 overruled.

25           As far as the scene photos are concerned, I'm

1 assuming you have -- and the other photos, I'm assuming you  
2 have seen them now.

3 MR. KOWALCZYK: We've exchanged -- we've gotten  
4 emails from plaintiff's counsel, and the one extra we had --  
5 all demonstratives have been exchanged.

6 THE COURT: Are both sides okay on all that, or are  
7 there issues that I have to decide?

8 MR. KOWALCZYK: I think at this time, and we'll work  
9 them out.

10 THE COURT: You'll work them out. Fine.

11 All right. Flipping over to the defense exhibits,  
12 looks like there's just a couple of objections.

13 So you've got No. 2 is the inventory slip, and so  
14 what's the purpose that you're wanting to put this in for?

15 MS. ATKINS: Inventory slip, your Honor, is  
16 Mr. Dean's materials that were inventoried.

17 THE COURT: No, I know what it is. What does it  
18 show?

19 MR. KOWALCZYK: The significance, Judge, is there's a  
20 receipt for minibar that's listed.

21 THE COURT: Oh, receipt for minibar. Okay.

22 MS. HAMILTON: Judge, our objection to that is we  
23 don't have the actual receipts. We don't even know what date  
24 or anything it says on it, number one.

25 Number two is that it's hearsay as offered by the

1 defendants because they're offering a statement of their own  
2 party.

3 THE COURT: No. They're offering a statement of  
4 their own party that the defendant had this thing on him.  
5 That's not hearsay.

6 MS. HAMILTON: Isn't the --

7 THE COURT: We recovered this from the defendant when  
8 we searched him before we put him in the lockup and it was a  
9 receipt for a minibar. And then it's up to everybody to argue  
10 what the significance of it is, if anything.

11 MS. HAMILTON: It would be the plaintiff's position  
12 that he can testify to that but to introduce the document  
13 is -- we could introduce it, but they can't because they're  
14 introducing an out-of-court statement by their own --

15 THE COURT: This is not like your sort of standard  
16 narrative police report in which a police officer is  
17 essentially relating what was told to him or her about an  
18 event. This is -- if this can't get in as a business record,  
19 I'm not sure what can. And so, you know, again, if you want  
20 to make people lay the foundation that it's a regular practice  
21 to record what we take from the person, et cetera, et cetera,  
22 go ahead and do that, but I really think that you should be  
23 spending more time arguing about significant stuff. I think  
24 you'll be able without much difficulty to lay a business  
25 record foundation for it.

1           No. 3 is photographs used in Fiorito's deposition, so  
2   you're going to deal with that it sounds like.

3           MS. HAMILTON: Yes, Judge.

4           THE COURT: Lopez we've dealt with.

5           Benz, let's hold that until I deal with Lopez.

6   That's No. 8.

7           9, 10, and 11 are basically off the table because  
8   that's Klein and Pipkens.

9           And that's it.

10          MS. HAMILTON: Judge, there's just two other things  
11   that we need to address with your Honor with the pretrial  
12   order.

13          THE COURT: Yes.

14          MS. HAMILTON: The first is Mr. Erickson had an  
15   opportunity to speak briefly before we came into court about  
16   we wanted to seek your Honor's leave to amend the pretrial  
17   order just to add another exhibit that may be used for  
18   impeachment purposes only. It's the DUI detection manual that  
19   we would like to use if necessary.

20          THE COURT: It's a police department document of some  
21   sort?

22          MS. HAMILTON: It's actually created by the National  
23   Highway Institute of Traffic Safety.

24          THE COURT: You're going to be able to lay some sort  
25   of foundation that this is what police officers follow?

1 MS. HAMILTON: With Officer Fiorito, yes.

2 THE COURT: Assuming they can lay the foundation, is  
3 there a problem with this one?

4 MR. KOWALCZYK: It was just given to us today, Judge,  
5 but I think we can probably --

6 THE COURT: Okay. Look it over, and you'll tell me  
7 on Monday if there's a problem.

8 What's the second thing?

9 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, there's one other issue  
10 that we need to address; if it's possible, we'd like to go off  
11 the record about it.

12 MR. KOWALCZYK: Probably two issues, actually, off  
13 the record.

14 THE COURT: So let me tell you the two other things  
15 that I need to tell you on the record.

16 So, number one, you will get tomorrow, probably in  
17 the morning, hopefully in the morning, by email -- and what I  
18 need somebody to do is pull out a piece of paper and put let's  
19 say two people per side that I'm going to send the draft jury  
20 questionnaire and the draft preliminary jury instructions to.  
21 You'll probably get those in two separate emails in the  
22 morning with an email that says give me your comments and  
23 responses by 3:00 in the afternoon or something like that with  
24 a copy to the other side.

25 I will instruct the jury before opening statements,

1 and that will include instructions on the elements of what the  
2 claims are.

3 I allow jurors to ask questions. I think you've all  
4 tried cases in front of me before. At least somebody on each  
5 side has tried cases in front of me before, so you know how  
6 that works.

7 And the trial -- be here at 9:45 on Monday, and we  
8 won't, generally speaking, go past 4:30 unless there's a  
9 witness on the stand that's within a few minutes of being  
10 done. The lunch break will be at 12:30, and we'll resume at  
11 either 1:30 or 1:45 depending upon what other cases I have up  
12 at 1:30.

13 I think that's everything I needed to tell you.

14 So come over here.

15 (Discussion at side bar off the record.)

16 (Which were all the proceedings had in the  
17 above-entitled cause on the day and date aforesaid.)

18 \* \* \* \* \*

19 C E R T I F I C A T E

20  
21 I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and  
22 correct transcript of the above-entitled matter.

23  
24 /s/ Valarie M. Ramsey

08-17-2012

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
Court Reporter

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date